To: Froede, Carl[Froede.Carl@epa.gov]

From: Amoroso, Cathy[/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP

(FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=C5033745779E4121B626D62341A9B89C-AMOROSO, CATHY]

Sent: Tue 3/2/2021 7:49:15 PM (UTC)

Subject: RE: DOE's suggested path forward for implementing the ORR FFS dispute decision

Agreed. Thank you.

From: Froede, Carl < Froede. Carl@epa.gov> Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 2:16 PM

To: Amoroso, Cathy < Amoroso. Cathy@epa.gov>

Subject: RE: DOE's suggested path forward for implementing the ORR FFS dispute decision

Hi Cathy,

Per our earlier convo – we need a workplan or report that we approve for the following:

- 1) Fish data collection SAP (already a planned deliverable)
- 2) Fish Tissue Risk Assessment document
- 3) Method and manner of converting the risk assessment values into RAD discharge limits. Note this information will be necessary for the revised FFS and having it in an approved report in the Admin Record would make our DRAT/EIT work easier.

Because we are doing much of this work through the DRAT/EIT it may be okay to work from "Reports" as long as they contain all the info necessary to move forward. Your recommendation to review a "Table of Contents" for each of these reports may assist in making sure the document contains what is necessary for approval.

Carl

From: Amoroso, Cathy < Amoroso. Cathy@epa.gov >

Sent: Tuesday, March 2, 2021 1:11 PM

To: Adams, Glenn <<u>Adams.Glenn@epa.gov</u>>; Froede, Carl <<u>Froede.Carl@epa.gov</u>>; Jones, Connie <<u>Jones.Constance@epa.gov</u>>

Cc: Richards, Jon M. <Richards.Jon@epa.gov>; Alexander, Shanna <Alexander.Shanna@epa.gov>

Subject: DOE's suggested path forward for implementing the ORR FFS dispute decision

All,

Attached is DOE's proposed path forward in implementing the ORR FFS decision with regards to the Landfill wastewater FFS, the EMDF ROD and modification to the EMWMF ROD.

DOE is looking for feedback/buy in. I understand their effort – they want some assurance that we are all on the same page with the plan moving forward.

Significantly, according to this proposal/flow chart,

- ARARs would be in the EMDF D2 ROD, but not in the D1 ROD.
- the D1 and D2 EMDF ROD would be prepared without the revised FFS, then add discharge limits in a future D2 modification.

Can we live with that? Do we need to get together to discuss?

Cathy Amoroso, Chief Restoration & DOE Coordination Section Superfund & Emergency Management Division U.S. EPA, Region 4 404-295-6758